

# CPA Highlight Report Guidance

Column	Explanation				
1	<p>An indicator can have multiple references allowing it to be identified for different purposes. E.G. indicators included in the CPA assessment are prefixed with CPA.</p> <p>Listed below are the prefixes used to identify the different indicators.</p> <p>CPA – The indicator is included in the CPA Assessment            BV – The indicator is part of the Best Value suite of indicators            LKI – This indicator is one of Leeds Local Key Indicators            CP – This indicator supports objects within the Corporate Plan            LAA – This indicator is part of the Local Area Agreement.</p>				
3	<p>This column identifies if the performance information in columns 4 and 5 should increase or decrease if the service is performing well. You may also see yes or no in this column.</p>				
7	<p>This column identifies where the result for each indicator falls within the CPA thresholds.</p>	<table border="1"> <tr> <td data-bbox="2410 1010 2778 1062">Upper</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="2410 1062 2778 1115">Middle</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="2410 1115 2778 1167">Lower</td> </tr> </table>	Upper	Middle	Lower
Upper					
Middle					
Lower					

# CPA 2007 Highlight Report - Environment Block

**CPA Rationale** - The score for the Environment block is based on the Performance Indicators above and is ranked using the tables below

PI Score	PI Judgement Criteria
4	No PIs at or below the lower threshold, and 35% or more PIs at or above the upper threshold
3	No more than 15% of PIs (or 1 PI if 15% equates to less than 1) at or below the lower thresholds, and 25% or more PIs at or above the upper thresholds
2	Any other combination
1	35% or more PIs at or below the lower threshold

	Total Number of PIs in the block	Pis above the upper threshold	Pis below the lower threshold
No.	34	11	4
%		32%	12%

Projected Block Score
<b>3</b>

Please note the projected block score is currently based on proposed CPA 2007 thresholds.

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
Reference	Title	Good Performance	05-06 Result	06-07 Result (Based on predicted result until final result published)	Year on Year Improvement (Based on 2005/06 & 2006/07 PI Results)	Assessment Under CPA Model (Based on 06/07 Results)	Service Responsible	Comments
CPA-E1	Progress with the local transport plan.	Excellent	Good	Good	N/A	Middle	Traffic Management	PI is based on assessment of LTP delivery. Final Delivery Report for LTP1 covering the period 2001-06 was submitted in July 2006 and received a DfT assessment of "Good" in December 2006. The first progress report for LTP2 will be submitted in July 2008 to cover 2006-08 and scored in December 2008.
BV-109a CPA-E2	Percentage of planning applications determined in line with the Government's new development control targets to determine a) 60% of major applications in 13 weeks.	Rise	53.30%	61%	↑	Upper	Planning	Provisional result. CPA Service Assessment is based on annual performance up to 30 June 2007, the figures shown cover April 2006 - March 2007.
BV-109b CPA-E2	Percentage of planning applications determined in line with development control targets to determine b) 65% of minor applications in 8 weeks.	Rise	70.70%	69.9%	↓		Planning	
BV-109c CPA-E2	Percentage of planning applications determined in line with development control targets to determine c) 80% of other applications determined within 8 weeks.	Rise	81%	83.6%	↑		Planning	
BV-111 CPA-E3	Percentage of Planning applicants satisfied with the service received.	Rise	2006/07 result used for CPA 2006 Service Assessment	59%	N/A	Lower	Planning	PI 'Confidence Interval' adjustment made to calculate the threshold rating.
BV-204 CPA-E42	The percentage of appeals allowed against the authority's decision to refuse on planning applications.	Fall	23.50%	37.40%	↓	Middle	Planning	The decisions continue to be reviewed in depth with Area Planning Managers and (where appropriate) Plans Panels. Member training has been programmed and officer training is ongoing to ensure soundness in decision making.
BV-205 CPA-E43	Quality of the planning services checklist.	Rise	72%	See Comments	↑	Middle	Planning	We are currently awaiting clarification from the Audit Commission as to how the 2006/07 year-end figure should be calculated. * Assessment based on 05/06 result and will be updated when the 06/07 results is calculated.
BV-200b CPA-E44	Has the Local Planning Authority met the milestones which the current Local Development Scheme (LDS) sets out?	Yes	No	No	N/A	Lower	Planning	This is the proposed CPA 2007 replacement for BV200a, if BV200a were to remain part of the service assessment it would be classed as being 'Middle' threshold.

# CPA 2007 Highlight Report - Environment Block

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
Reference	Title	Good Performance	05-06 Result	06-07 Result (Based on predicted result until final result published)	Year on Year Improvement (Based on 2005/06 & 2006/07 PI Results)	Assessment Under CPA Model (Based on 06/07 Results)	Service Responsible	Comments
<b>Managing the environment well</b>								
BV-199a CPA-E8a	The proportion of relevant land and highways (expressed as a percentage) that is assessed as having combined deposits of litter and detritus that fall below an acceptable level.	Fall	7 surveyed 1 below standard 6 above standard	See Comments	N/A	Middle*	Street Cleansing	2005/06 figure used to provide estimate.  This PI score is based on surveys of sites covering up to ten land use classes. We are awaiting this information.
BV-91a CPA-E8a	Provision of kerbside recycling collection (one recyclable).	Rise	90.10%	92.35%	↑	Middle	Refuse Collection & Waste Management	In the ongoing 'The transition from CPA to CAA' consultation exercise the Audit Commission are proposing to delete this indicator.
BV-90a CPA-E7a	Percentage of people expressing satisfaction with household waste collection.	Rise	2006/07 result used for CPA 2006 Service Assessment	82%	N/A	Middle	Refuse Collection & Waste Management	PI 'Confidence Interval' adjustment made to calculate the threshold rating.
BV-90b CPA-E8b	Percentage of people expressing satisfaction with waste recycling.	Rise	2006/07 result used for CPA 2006 Service Assessment	83%	N/A	Middle	Refuse Collection & Waste Management	The result for this indicator has been adjusted for deprivation, as per the CPA Service Assessment scoring criteria.
BV-90c CPA-E8c	Percentage of people expressing satisfaction with waste disposal.	Rise	2006/07 result used for CPA 2006 Service Assessment	86%	N/A	Upper	Refuse Collection & Waste Management	PI 'Confidence Interval' adjustment made to calculate the threshold rating.
BV-224b CPA-E11	Percentage of the unclassified road network where structural maintenance should be considered.	Fall	See Comments	26.19%	N/A	Lower*	Road Maintenance	*This indicator is in the CPA but the thresholds in CPA are currently set using a two year average (i.e. data from the last two financial years). This cannot be consistent with the new calculation listed on the DfT website. The other issue here is that any authority's performance will be affected by the state of their roads three years previously so moving thresholds will be very difficult. - This information is to be fed back to the Audit Commission as part of the CPA to CAA consultation process.
CPA-E12	Reducing killed and seriously injured (KSI) road casualties.	Fall	435	352	↑	Middle	Traffic Management	This indicator is calculated using a 3 year average from 2004, 2005 & 2006 the result is then compared to the target for 2005 which is 435. The average for the 3 years is 410 based on 2004 - 443, 2005 - 435, 2006 - 352.
CPA-E40	Reducing slightly injured road casualties.	Fall	3691	3440	↑	Upper	Traffic Management	This indicator is calculated using a 3 year average from 2004, 2005 & 2006 the result is then compared to the target for 2005 which is 3809. The average for the 3 years is 3500 based on 2004 - 3691, 2005 - 3440, 2006 - 3369.
BV-103 CPA-E14	% of respondents satisfied with local provision of public transport information.	Rise	2006/07 result used for CPA 2006 Service Assessment	79.00%	N/A	Upper	Traffic Management	Guidance stipulates that for the CPA 2007 service assessment the figures to be used are those from respondents who have seen passenger transport information. PI 'Confidence Interval' adjustment made to calculate the threshold rating.  Metro have confirmed that this is the highest satisfaction score of any Metropolitan area.
BV-104 CPA-E15	Percentage of respondents satisfied with local bus services.	Rise	2006/07 result used for CPA 2006 Service Assessment	67.00%	N/A	Middle	Traffic Management	Guidance stipulates that for the CPA 2007 service assessment the figures to be used are those from respondents who have used local bus services.  PI 'Confidence Interval' adjustment made to calculate the threshold rating.

# CPA 2007 Highlight Report - Environment Block

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
Reference	Title	Good Performance	05-06 Result	06-07 Result (Based on final or predicted figure)	Year on Year Improvement (Based on 2005/06 & 2006/07 PI Results)	Assessment Under CPA Model (Based on 06/07 Results)	Service Responsible	Comments
BV-165 CPA-E16	The percentage of pedestrian crossings with facilities for disabled people.	Rise	82.10%	82.10%	↔	Middle	Traffic Management	In December 2006, agreement was reached with KPMG that a revised outturn of 82.1% for 2005-06 was appropriate. A survey to verify the random sample of 40 sites checked by Audit is currently being implemented. Until this is completed, performance will continue to be reported at 82.1%.
BV-187 CPA-E18	Percentage of the category 1, 1a and 2 footway network where structural maintenance should be considered.	Fall	33%	24%	↑	Middle	Road Maintenance	
TM-E19 CPA-E19	Intervention by the Secretary of State under Traffic Management Act powers.	Fall	No intervention	No intervention	↔	Middle	Traffic Management	
BV-179 CPA-E22	Percentage of standard planning searches carried out within 10 working days.	Rise	99.96%	No result reported in 2006/07, no longer a statutory BVPI.				In the ongoing 'The transition from CPA to CAA' consultation exercise the Audit Commission are proposing to delete this indicator.
BV-166b CPA-E21	Score out of 10 against a checklist of enforcement best practice for trading standards.	Rise	100%	100%	↔	Upper	Environmental Health	
BV-166a CPA-E27	Score against a checklist of enforcement best practice for environmental health.	Rise	96.70%	96.70%	↔	Middle	Environmental Health	
TS-E30 CPA-E30	Consumer satisfaction with trading standards with trading standards service.	Rise	79.90%	85%	↑	Upper	Trading Standards	
TS-E31 CPA-E31	Business satisfaction with trading standards service.	Rise	88.40%	91%	↑	Upper	Trading Standards	
TS-E32 CPA-E32	Trading standards - visits to high risk premises.	Rise	100%	100%	↔	Upper	Trading Standards	
TS-E33a-c CPA-E33	Trading standards - levels of business compliance, high, medium, low risk premises.	Rise				Upper	Trading Standards	
TS-E33a-c CPA-E33	Trading standards - levels of business compliance, high risk premises.	Rise	100%	100%	↑	See Above	Trading Standards	
	Trading standards - levels of business compliance, medium risk premises.	Rise	99.90%	100%	↑	See Above	Trading Standards	
	Trading standards - levels of business compliance, low risk premises.	Rise	99.80%	97.1%	↓	See Above	Trading Standards	
BV-89 CPA-E38	The percentage of people satisfied with cleanliness standards.	Rise	2006/07 result used for CPA 2006 Service Assessment	76.6%	N/A	Middle	Street Cleansing	The 06/07 result has been adjusted for deprivation.
CPA-91b CPA-E45	Percentage of households resident in the authority's area served by a kerbside collection of at least two recyclables.	Rise	90.14%	92.35%	↑	Middle	Refuse Collection & Waste Management	
BV-199b CPA-E46	The proportion of relevant land and highways (expressed as a percentage) from which unacceptable levels of graffiti are visible.	Fall	11%	6%	↑	Lower	Street Cleansing	
BV-199c CPA-E47	The proportion of relevant land and highways (expressed as a percentage) from which unacceptable levels of fly-posting are visible.	Fall	1%	1%	↔	Middle	Street Cleansing	
BV-199d CPA-E48	The year-on-year reduction in the total number of incidents and increase in total number of enforcement actions taken to deal with fly tipping.	Fall	N/A	3	N/A	Middle	Street Cleansing	The council's performance on this indicator is rated as 'good'. It is not achieving the top rating on this PI (very effective) as the number of fly tips recovered is increasing (which is not surprising given the work being undertaken by the Environmental Pride Teams). This PI should be viewed in conjunction with BV199a-c as the work done in this area contributes to a 'cleaner city'.

## CPA 2007 Highlight Report - Environment Block

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
Reference	Title	Good Performance	05-06 Result	06-07 Result (Based on final or predicted figure)	Year on Year Improvement (Based on 2005/06 & 2006/07 PI Results)	Assessment Under CPA Model (Based on 06/07 Results)	Service Responsible	Comments
<b>Sustaining a quality environment for future generations</b>								
BV-82a	Percentage of household waste arisings which have been sent by the authority for recycling.	Rise	17.26%	15.83%	↓	Middle	Refuse Collection & Waste Management	
BV-82b	The percentage of household waste sent by the authority for composting or treatment by anaerobic digestion.	Rise	4.07%	6.47%	↑		Refuse Collection & Waste Management	
CPA-E6	Recycling and composting performance.	Rise	21.33%	22.30%	↑		Refuse Collection & Waste Management	Based on April 2006 - November 2006 figures. Currently awaiting clarification from the Audit Commission/DEFRA as to how to calculate the 2006/07 year-end figure.
BV-106 CPA-E23	Percentage of new homes built on previously developed land.	Rise	96.10%	97%	↑	Upper	Planning	
BV-63 CPA-E24	Energy Efficiency - the average SAP rating of local authority owned dwellings.	Rise	61.2	65.1	↑	Upper	Housing Management	
BV-84 CPA-E26	KG of household waste collected per head.	Fall	462.16	473.11	↓	Middle	Refuse Collection & Waste Management	

# CPA 2007 Highlight Report - Housing Block

**CPA Rationale** - The CPA score for the housing block is derived by combining the weighted scores for two areas, Managing Council Homes and Housing the Community, with each area contributing 50% to the overall score. The score for each area is calculated by scoring the PIs listed on the previous page, this makes up 35% of the overall 50% for each area with the inspection score making up the remaining 15% (inspection scores are included if they have been conducted within the last three years). The tables on the left show how each score is arrived at, the tables in the middle show the actual and weighted figures with the table on right showing the overall CPA score for the housing block.

PI Score	PI Judgement Criteria
4	No PIs at or below the lower threshold, and 35% or more PIs at or above the upper threshold
3	No more than 15% of PIs (or 1 PI if 15% equates to less than 1) at or below the lower thresholds, and 25% or more PIs at or above the upper thresholds
2	Any other combination
1	35% or more PIs at or below the lower threshold

PI and Inspection Score Breakdown	
<b>Managing Council Homes</b>	
15%	Inspection Score
35%	PI Score
<b>Housing the Community</b>	
15%	Inspection Score
35%	PI Score

Overall Score	Weighted Average Aggregate Score
1	Below 1.85
2	1.85 - less than 2.5
3	2.5 to 3.15
4	Above 3.15

Managing Council Homes			
	Total Number of PIs in the block	PIs above the upper threshold	PIs below the lower threshold
No.	12	1	1
%		8%	8%

Housing the Community			
	Total Number of PIs in the block	PIs above the upper threshold	PIs below the lower threshold
No.	9	3	1
%		33%	11%

Managing Council Homes			
PI Score	Weighted Score	Inspection Score	Weighted Score
3	1.05	3	0.45

Housing the Community			
PI Score	Weighted Score	Inspection Score	Weighted Score
3	1.05	2	0.3

Weighted Score Total
2.85

Projected Block Score
<b>3</b>

Please note the projected block score is currently based on proposed CPA 2007 thresholds.

# CPA 2007 Highlight Report - Housing Block

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
Reference	Title	Good Performance	05-06 Result	06-07 Result (Based on final or predicted figure)	Year on Year Improvement (Based on 2005/06 & 2006/07 PI Results)	Assessment Under CPA Model (Based on 06/07 Results)	Service Responsible	Comments
<b>Managing Council Homes - meeting the decent homes standard</b>								
BV-184a CPA-H1	The proportion of local authority homes which were non-decent at 1st April.	Fall	48%	34%	↑	Middle	Housing Management	Provisional Result.
BV-184b CPA-H2	The percentage change in the proportion of non-decent LA homes which are not decent between 1st April 2006 and 1st April 2007.	Rise	9.5%	13.4%	↑	Middle	Housing Management	Provisional Result.
<b>Managing Council Homes - repairs and maintenance</b>								
LKI-HMA7 CPA-H4	The percentage of urgent repairs completed within Government time limits.	Rise	88.46%	96.72%	↑	Middle	Housing Management	
LKI-HMA4 CPA-H5	The average time taken (in days) to complete non-urgent responsive repairs.	Fall	16.78	12.68	↑	Middle	Housing Management	
CPA-H21	Percentage of planned to responsive repairs funded from revenue expenditure.	Rise	35.57%	To be provided in HIP return (June 2007)		*Middle	Housing Management	* This indicator is reported annually, the CPA assessment column has been based on the 05/06 result and will be amended when the 06/07 result is available.  <b>In the ongoing 'The transition from CPA to CAA' consultation exercise the Audit Commission are proposing to delete this indicator.</b>
<b>Managing Council Homes - housing management</b>								
BV-66a CPA-H6	Rent collected by the local authority as a proportion of rents owed on Housing Revenue Account (HRA) dwellings.	Rise	96.15%	96.59%	↑	Middle	Housing Management	YEAR END RESULT IS PROVISIONAL Strategic Landlord has reviewed the specification of the BV66a report to ensure that performance is reported in accordance with the definition. This review is now complete - correct adjustments categories are now included and service charge income has been excluded from the calculation. Strategic Landlord has carried out detailed testing of the report and ALMOs have carried out some further testing. A couple of issues have been raised and so at this stage performance is still provisional.
BV-212 CPA-H8	Average time taken to re-let local authority housing (days).	Fall	63	39	↑	Middle	Housing Management	
CPA-H9	Average weekly management cost.	Fall	£20.52		N/A	Lower*	Housing Management	* This indicator is reported annually, the CPA assessment column has been based on the 05/06 result and will be amended when the 06/07 result is available.
BV-164 CPA-H10	Does the authority follow the Commission for Racial Equality's code of practice in rented housing and follow the good practice standards for social landlords on tackling harassment included in the code of practice for social landlords: Tackling racial Harassment?	Yes	Yes	Yes	↔	Middle	Housing Management	
BV-63 CPA-H11	Energy Efficiency - the average SAP rating of local authority owned dwellings.	Rise	61	65	↑	Upper	Housing Management	
<b>Managing Council Homes - resident involvement</b>								
BV-74a CPA-H12	The percentage of all council tenants, or a representative sample of council tenants, stating that they are satisfied with the overall service provided by their landlord when surveyed.	Rise	75.00%	84.39%	↑	Middle	Housing Management	The result for this indicator has been adjusted for deprivation, as per the CPA Service Assessment scoring criteria.
BV-75a CPA-H13	Satisfaction of council housing tenants with opportunities for participation in management and decision making in relation to housing services provided by their landlord.	Rise	49.00%	69.18%	↑	Middle	Housing Management	The result for this indicator has been adjusted for deprivation, as per the CPA Service Assessment scoring criteria.
<b>Housing the Community - homelessness and housing advice</b>								
BV-183a CPA-H14	The average length of stay (weeks) in bed and breakfast accommodation of households which include dependent children or a pregnant woman and which are unintentionally homeless and in priority need.	Fall	0	1	↓	Upper	Homeless and Advisory Service	
BV-183b CPA-H15	The average length of stay in hostel accommodation of households which include dependant children or a pregnant woman who are unintentionally homeless and in priority need.	Fall	0	0	↔	Upper	Homeless and Advisory Service	
BV-203 CPA-H22	The percentage change in the average number of families placed in temporary accommodation.	Fall	3.88%	24.00%	↓	Middle	Homeless and Advisory Service	

**CPA 2007 Highlight Report - Housing Block**



# CPA 2007 Highlight Report - Housing Block

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
Reference	Title	Good Performance	05-06 Result	06-07 Result (Based on final or predicted figure)	Year on Year Improvement (Based on 2005/06 & 2006/07 PI Results)	Assessment Under CPA Model (Based on 06/07 Results)	Service Responsible	Comments
<b>Housing the Community - homelessness and housing advice (Continued)</b>								
BV-213 CPA-H24	Number of households (per thousand households) who considered themselves as homeless, who approached the local housing authority's housing advice service(s), and for whom housing advice casework intervention resolved their situation.	Rise	1	1	↔	Lower	Homeless and Advisory Service	The Audit Commission are to determine if an adjustment needs to be made to this PI for the CPA Service Assessment. A query is outstanding with the Audit Commission PI Team, this is seeking clarification as to how this figure should be rounded.
BV-214 CPA-H25	Proportion of households accepted as statutorily homeless who were accepted as statutorily homeless by the same Authority within the last two years.	Fall	7.12%	3.66%	↑	Middle	Homeless and Advisory Service	This is the proposed replacement for the previously reported CPA-H16 (Repeat homelessness acceptances - P1E homelessness return to CLG) The Audit Commission are to determine if an adjustment needs to be made to this PI for the CPA Service Assessment.
CPA-H17	Private sector housing unfit made fit.	Rise	2.61%	To be reported		*Middle	Environmental Health	* This indicator is reported annually, the CPA assessment column has been based on the 05/06 result and will be amended when the 06/07 result is available. <b>In the ongoing 'The transition from CPA to CAA' consultation exercise the Audit Commission are proposing to delete this indicator.</b>
<b>Housing the community - balancing housing markets</b>								
LKI-EH8 CPA-H18	Percentage of private sector homes vacant for more than 6 months.	Fall	0.78%	0.73%	↑	Middle	Environmental Health	The result for this indicator has been adjusted for deprivation, as per the CPA Service Assessment scoring criteria.
BV-64 CPA-H23	The number of private sector dwellings that are returned into occupation or demolished as a direct result of action by the local authority.	Rise	1724 18.68%	2361 25.59%	↑	Upper	Private Sector Housing Strategy	Provisional Result.
<b>Housing the community - community safety</b>								
BV-175 CPA-H19	The percentage of racial incidents that resulted in further action.	Rise	96.00%	94.00%	↑	Middle	Community Safety	Provisional Result.

# CPA 2007 Highlight Report - Culture Block

CPA Rationale - The score for the Culture block is based on the Performance Indicators above and is ranked using the tables below

PI Score	PI Judgement Criteria
4	No PIs at or below the lower threshold, and 35% or more PIs at or above the upper threshold
3	No more than 15% of PIs (or 1 PI if 15% equates to less than 1) at or below the lower thresholds, and 25% or more PIs at or above the upper thresholds
2	Any other combination
1	35% or more PIs at or below the lower threshold

	Total Number of PIs in the block	PIs above the upper threshold	PIs below the lower threshold
No.	18	5	3
%		28%	17%

Projected Block Score
2

Please note the projected block score is currently based on proposed CPA 2007 thresholds.

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
Reference	Title	Good Performance	05-06 Result	06-07 Result (Based on final or predicted figure)	Year on Year Improvement (Based on 2005/06 & 2006/07 PI Results)	Assessment Under CPA Model (Based on 06/07 Results)	Service Responsible	Comments
<b>Access</b>								
BV-178 CPA-C1	The percentage of the total length of footpaths and other rights of way which were easy to use by members of the public.	Rise	74.7%	58.2%	↓	Middle	Parks & Countryside	Result confirmed in 2007/08 Quarter 1 applies to 2006/07 financial year and CPA 2007. This PI is based on a site survey of 5% of total footpath and right of way provision, with a key element relating to whether the length of path way has an adequate number of sign posts. Despite good assessments of bridges, surface conditions and stiles and gates, the number of sign posts led to a lower score on the indicator. The result has fallen below the Council target but exceeds the CPA lower threshold.
CPA-C2	Public Library service standards on access - PLSS1, 2 & 6.	Rise	See Below			Upper	Libraries	
CPA-C2ai	Proportion of households living within 1 mile of a static library.	Rise	90.50%	90.10%	↓	See above for collective score	Libraries	CPA Middle threshold
CPA-C2aii	Proportion of households living within 2 miles of a static library.	Rise	98.00%	98.20%	↔		Libraries	CPA Middle threshold
CPA-C2b	Aggregate scheduled opening hours per 1,000 population for all libraries.	Rise	129	131	↑		Libraries	CPA Upper threshold <b>The prediction is based on the mid year population estimate and will change when the update is released by CIPFA in Aug 2007.</b>
LKI-110 CPA-C2c	Number of library visits per 1,000 population.	Rise	5723	5820	↑		Libraries	CPA Upper threshold <b>The prediction is based on the mid year population estimate and will change when the update is released by CIPFA in Aug 2007.</b>
CPA-C3	Public library service standards on ICT provision - PLSS 3&4.	Rise	See Below			Upper	Libraries	CPA Upper threshold The CPA assessment for this indicator is based on the assessment of the indicators two parts listed below. The guidance states that to achieve 'Upper' threshold 3 a) or 3 b) should not be in the 'Lower' threshold and 3 b) should be in the 'Upper' threshold.
CPA-C3a	Percentage of static libraries providing access to electronic information resources connected to the internet.	Rise	100%	100%	↔	See above for collective score	Libraries	CPA Middle threshold
CPA-C3b	Total number of workstations available to users per 10,000 population.	Rise	7	7	↔		Libraries	CPA Upper threshold
CPA-C19	Percentage of population that are within 20 minutes travel time of a range of three different sports facility types, of which one has achieved a specified quality assured standard.	Rise	N/A	24.07%	N/A	Lower	Sport	This indicator was reported for the first time in 2006/07.

# CPA 2007 Highlight Report - Culture Block

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
Reference	Title	Good Performance	05-06 Result	06-07 Result (Based on final or predicted figure)	Year on Year Improvement (Based on 2005/06 & 2006/07 PI Results)	Assessment Under CPA Model (Based on 06/07 Results)	Service Responsible	Comments
<b>Participation</b>								
CPA-C4	Active borrowers as a percentage of population.	Rise	16.10%	15.55%	↓	Lower	Libraries	This result has been calculated using the 2005 mid year population figure currently available from CIPFA. It will be adjusted in August 07 when the 2006 mid year population figure is released by CIPFA.
CPA-C16	Percentage of 5-16 year olds in school sports partnerships engaged in two hours a week minimum on high quality PE and school sport within and beyond the curriculum.	Rise	73.00%	83.00%	↑	Middle	Sport	
CPA-C17 CP-SP50	Percentage of adults participating in at least 30 minutes moderate intensity sport and active recreation on three or more days a week.	Rise	2006/07 figure used for CPA 2006 Service Assessment	25%	N/A	Middle	Sport	This indicator was reported for the first time in 2006/07 and has been adjusted for deprivation.
CPA-C18	Percentage of population volunteering in sport and active recreation for at least one hour per week.	Rise	2006/07 figure used for CPA 2006 Service Assessment	6.30%	N/A	Middle	Sport	This indicator was reported for the first time in 2006/07 and has been adjusted for deprivation.
BV-119a CPA-C5	The percentage of residents satisfied with sports and leisure facilities.	Rise	2006/07 figure used for CPA 2006 Service Assessment	57%	N/A	Middle	Sport	PI 'Confidence Interval' adjustment made to calculate the threshold rating.
BV-119b CPA-C6	The percentage of residents satisfied with libraries.	Rise		70%	N/A	Middle	Libraries	
BV-119c CPA-C7	The percentage of residents satisfied with Museums and Galleries.	Rise		50%	N/A	Middle	Museums & Galleries	
BV-119d CPA-C8	The percentage of residents satisfied with theatres and concert halls	Rise		56%	N/A	Middle	Arts Development & Events	
BV-119e CPA-C9	The percentage of residents satisfied with parks and open spaces.	Rise		79%	↑	Middle	Parks & Countryside	
CPA-C11	Public library service standards on stock - PLSS 5, 9 & 10.		See Below			Upper	Libraries	The CPA assessment for this indicator is based on the scores listed below.
CPA-C11ai	Requests supply time 7 days.	Rise	62.00%	64.10%	↑	See above for collective score	Libraries	CPA Upper threshold / Standard = 50%
CPA-C11aii	Requests supply time within 15 days.	Rise	76.00%	79.50%	↑		Libraries	CPA Upper threshold / Standard = 70%
CPA-C11aiii	Requests supply time within 30 days.	Rise	87.00%	89.70%	↑		Libraries	CPA Upper threshold / Standard = 85%
CPA-C11b	Annual items added through purchase per 1,000 population.	Rise	213 items	233 items	↑		Libraries	CPA Upper threshold / Standard = 216 items
CPA-C11c	Time taken to replenish the lending stock on open access or available on loan.	Fall	5.5 Years	5.0 Years	↑		Libraries	CPA Upper threshold / Standard = 6.7 years
C12	Stock turn - book issues/books available for loan.	Rise	See Below			Lower		
C12a	Stock turn - book issues / books available for loan.	Rise	5.9	5.9	↔	See above for collective score		Result confirmed in Qtr 1 2007-08 applies to 2006-07 financial year and CPA 2007. Result is calculated to include an adjustment based on Leeds' ranking in the Index of Deprivation. <b>The Audit Commission is currently consulting with local authorities on the future of certain CPA indicators, and proposes withdrawing C12a from the 2007 assessment.</b>
C12b:	Stock level - books available for issue per 1,000 population.	Rise	1032	1035	↑			Realignment of childrens' non-fiction items lead to a reduction in total stock availability and therefore a score which fell below the CPA lower threshold. <b>The Audit Commission is currently consulting with local authorities on the future of certain CPA indicators, and proposes withdrawing C12b from the 2007 assessment.</b>

# CPA 2007 Highlight Report - Culture Block

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
Reference	Title	Good Performance	05-06 Result	06-07 Result (Based on final or predicted figure)	Year on Year Improvement (Based on 2005/06 & 2006/07 PI Results)	Assessment Under CPA Model (Based on 06/07 Results)	Service Responsible	Comments
CPA-C14a	Public library service standards on satisfaction - assessment of users 16 and over of their library service.	Rise	2006/07 figure used for CPA 2006 Service Assessment	90.20%		Upper	Libraries	
<b>Participation</b>								
CPA-C15	Museums accreditation - where applicable (this applies to museums that fell under the definition for BV-170).	Rise	Level 2	Not measured this year	N/A	Upper	Arts Development & Events	The accreditation is not measured this year, therefore the CPA assessment has been calculated using the 2005/06 result.
CPA-C13	Cost per visit.	Fall	£3.19	£3.27	↓	Middle	Libraries	Concern that future Corporate Landlord arrangements may also lead to a further increase in cost. <b>Final thresholds to be confirmed.</b>